NII Shonan Meeting Report

No. 174

Augmented Perception (ver 1.0)

Yuta Itoh, The University of Tokyo, Japan Kai Kunze, Keio University, Japan Jason Orlosky, Augusta University, USA & Osaka University, Japan

May 29–June 1, 2023



National Institute of Informatics 2-1-2 Hitotsubashi, Chiyoda-Ku, Tokyo, Japan

Augmented Perception (ver 1.0)

Organizers: Yuta Itoh (The University of Tokyo, Japan) Kai Kunze (Keio University, Japan) Jason Orlosky (Augusta University, USA & Osaka University, Japan)

May 29–June 1, 2023

Background and introduction

In the past years, there have been two successful Shonan Meetings over Augmented Reality (AR) and Human-Computer Interaction (HCI): the first Shonan Meeting on Perceptual Issues in Augmented Reality (AR) organized by Christian Sandor, Dieter Schmalstieg, and Edward J. Swan II in 2016; and the first Shonan Meeting on AR in HCI organized by Yuta Itoh, Kai Kunze, Alexander Plopski, and Christian Sandor in 2018. Building on the success of the previous meetings held every two years, we propose to organize a Shonan Meeting on Augmented Perception in 2020.

AR technology extends our perceived real world by providing users with additional information and/or interaction schemes. A typical example of such technology is optical see-through near-eye displays (OST-NED) [Sutherland 1968] that can overlay a virtual image into the user's view. As another example, we can use interactive techniques in combination with computer vision algorithms to improve human vision, allowing individuals to see in different spectrums or highlight objects of interest in the world that would otherwise be difficult to notice or invisible. Moreover, vision is not the only sensory functions that AR can enhance. Past AR research works explore AR displays for various sensory modal [Kim 2018] including hearing, taste, smell, and touch. In other words, AR technology is by nature a technology that changes or extends our perception. With the rapid development of AR technology, we believe in realizing a future where advanced AR devices virtually enhance our ability in various tasks by assisting our perception. While AR technology has steadily developed to be a commodity tool in our lives [Gartners 2019], not many people have realized its potential in extending human perception and how it could change our future life [Rekimoto 1999, Papagiannis 2017]. As a natural consequence, we believe that there is an emerging need to explore augmented perception research in more depth.

The concept of augmenting perception [Schmidt 2017] is a subtopic of a wider concept of extending human intellect proposed in the 1960s [Engelbart 1962]. Assisted by augmented perception devices, the users may be able to process more complex data and/or sense subtle or imperceptible information that our raw sensory system can handle. One example of augmented perception technology is the ChromaGlasses [Langlotz 2018] system, which assists color blindness by enhancing colors perceived by the user. The system analyzes the first-person view user and partially modulates the color of the person's view via see-through image overlay.

The major challenge in augmented perception is that the system of human perception and AR displays can be represented as a loop using various sensory models. For example, to provide optimized visual stimuli, the development of the ChromaGlasses described above required an understanding of the color perception of a color-blind individual, augmented reality technology, and cognition. Thus, augmented perception technologies must tackle this loop of human perception and the real-world environment for given tasks. To investigate the potential of augmented perception we have included people from various disciplines and backgrounds, ranging from electronics to neuroscience.

This seminar thus aims to rethink how information technology including AR and HCI could modify and extend our perception. The seminar provides a unique opportunity among excellent researchers and students in AR, HCI, Human Augmentation, and cognitive science from all over the world. Not only will participants come from diverse cultural backgrounds, but many participants also have a different and unique research field that will complement the discussions during the meeting.

With this diverse group of participants, we explore how perception augmentation would affect future society. More specifically, our goal is to establish future directions of research and narrow down topics for projects that we can carry out within the next several years. Much like the previous meetings, we expect that participants will build lasting relationships, start new collaborations, and be able to change their way of thinking after listening to the others.

Overview of the meeting

Overall, the meeting provided a platform for collaboration, knowledge sharing, and exploration of emerging themes and challenges in the fields of perceptual augmentation, accessibility in XR, and display technologies. Participants engaged in fruitful discussions, shared their expertise, and laid the groundwork for future research and collaborative efforts in these areas.

The meeting included a "Super Collabo Session," which aimed to foster collaboration among participants. The session provided an opportunity for attendees to find potential collaborators, initiate joint research papers, and explore common themes. Two specific topics were discussed during this session. The first topic involved augmenting perception to improve abilities and skills. Participants explored the possibilities of using technology to enhance human perception and its potential impact on various fields. The second topic, presented as a dream scenario, centered around inhibiting muscle activity using Human-Computer Interaction (HCI) technology. The participants considered methods such as sonification or Electrical Muscle Stimulation (EMS) amplification of errors to make individuals aware of their muscle activity.

Another significant topic discussed during the meeting was the proposed workshop at ISMAR (International Symposium on Mixed and Augmented Reality) with a focus on Accessibility for XR (Extended Reality). The workshop aimed to address the challenges and advancements in making XR technologies more accessible. Attendees had the opportunity to ask questions and refine the topics for further discussions throughout the day. The VERA project, emphasizing the experimental environment, was included, and participants were encouraged to share their project ideas for immediate collaboration. In addition, sessions were organized to explore considerations for starting research related to accessibility in XR and to discuss XR assistive technologies for individuals with low vision or blindness, such as an AR app for the visually impaired.

In another workshop session, we delved into the exploration and applications of Generative AI tools and their potential to significantly enhance various activities, including research, design, storytelling, and support for individuals with disabilities. Key discussion points were the potential of Generative AI tools to produce a nuanced perception of the world and their application in image descriptions. The integration of AI tools, including image recognition, image captioning, and GPT-based chat systems, can provide real-time support. These can be particularly useful in aiding people who have trouble expressing themselves verbally or need additional explanation support. We examined the transformative impact of AI on design education, suggesting the potential for design engineers to shift towards roles of quality assurance. The Generative AI tool DALL-E was mentioned in this context, hinting at the evolving dynamics of the design industry. The core aspect of communicating with Generative AI was explored, highlighting that it reacts to a series of words rather than individual concepts. An example was drawn from the current use of Google Glass in detecting symptoms of unconscious persons for paramedics, where written descriptions, understood by GPT, are highly beneficial. The LERF concept was introduced as a tool to generate richer or automated instructions, especially in critical scenarios such as emergency situations. This application positions AI as a social equalizer, although it is important to remember that despite its sophistication, AI like GPT is fundamentally performing lexical analysis without actual comprehension. Lastly, we acknowledged the current limitations of Generative AI, particularly in the realm of physics and spatial reasoning. As this technology evolves, these are areas where future developments and improvements may emerge.

We also had a focused "Display Session." This session delved into more detailed discussions on topics covered earlier in the day. The participants explored various aspects, including identifying killer applications, ideal display characteristics (e.g., form factor), and different use cases. Considerations were made for factors such as field of view, immersion, hands-free form factor, smartphone versus laptop usage, interaction methods, sensor integration, screen types, and the trade-offs between slim and bulky devices. The discussions also encompassed applications related to visual assistance, exploring how XR technologies can be utilized in this context.

Finally, we had a "bowl of questions" session that spanned multiple days. Each participant submitted a question and led the discussion regarding solutions to that question. The list of participants and their fields of expertise are listed below.

List of Participants

- Gerd Bruder, University of Central Florida Areas: perception, cognition, 3DUI
- Ozan Cakmakci, Google Areas: Optics, waveguides
- Jingyuan Cheng, University of Science and Technology of China Areas: Smart textile
- Henry Duh, Hong Kong Polytechnic University Research topics: simulator sickness, UX/UI
- Andre Hinkenjann, Bonn-Rhein-Sieg University of Applied Sciences Research areas: (Neural) Graphics, interaction, field visualization (e.g., magnetic)
- Takefumi Hiraki, Cluster Metaverse Lab Research areas: Projection-based AR, Haptics
- Yuichi Hiroi, The University of Tokyo Research areas: See-through displays, vision augmentation
- Yuta Itoh, The University of Tokyo Research areas: See-through displays, vision augmentation
- Daisuke Iwai, Osaka University Research area: Projection mapping
- Jeeeun Kim, Texas &M University Research areas: fabrications, programed design
- Kiyoshi Kiyokawa, Nara Inst. of Sci. & Tech. (NAIST) Research areas: displays, perception correction
- Hideki Koike, Professor of Tokyo Institute of Technology Research areas: vision-based HCI, AR sports, ski training
- Ernst Kruijff, Bonn-Rhein-Sieg University of Applied Sciences Research areas: human-factors driven design, multisensory 3DUI
- Kai Kunze, Keio University Research areas: HCI, VR, vision augmentation
- Tobias Langlotz, Unversity of Otago Research areas: HCI, visual augmentation, vision augmentation, guidance
- Pedro Lopes, University of Chicago Research areas: mobile, wearable integrated sensors, physical skill transfer, muscle stimulation
- Paul Lukowicz, DFKI and University of Kaiserslautern Research areas: sensing, human activity recognition, shared perception

- Päivi Majaranta, Tampere University Research areas: gaze-based HCI, multimodal HCI
- Katsutoshi Masai, Kyushu University Research areas: Affective computing and interaction, augmented perception, face perception Additional context: context of facial expression sensed is also important.
- Jason Orlosky, Augusta University and Osaka University Research areas: XR and learning, XR support to reduce cognitive disparity
- Alexander Plopski, TU Graz Research areas: visual perception in AR displays
- Enrico Rukzio, Ulm University Research areas: mobile, VR interaction, visual alterations
- Maki Sugimoto, Keio University Research areas: human augmentation in VR, sensing technology
- Jonathan Sutton, University of Otago Research areas: see-through displays, visual assistance
- Greg Welch, University of Central Florida Research areas: XR, motion sensing/tracking, physical-virtual
- Guanghan Zhao, Tokyo Institute of Technology Research areas: CV, VR/AR, HCI, Visually Induced Motion Sickness.
- Zhang Qing, The University of Tokyo Research areas: visual information processing, visual perception programming

Summary of Researcher Topics and Discussions

The following section of slides includes topic introductions from each of the participants. These topics were also discussed in the various sessions throughout the seminar and were used to spur various questions in the "Bowl of Questions" session.

Introduction slides

Shonan Meeting 174

Gerd Bruder



Who are you?

My name is Gerd (<u>/'gɛrd/</u>), my favorite color is yellow, and I live in Florida!

Research Associate Professor at the University of Central Florida

Focus on perception and cognition in AR/VR; 3D user interfaces

PhD 2011 (WWU) on perceptual illusions and redirection, and Habilitation 2017 (UHH) on perceptually-inspired user interfaces



What part of your work is most relevant to the Seminar?



What is the most important topic/grand challenge we should tackle?

- AR for augmenting human perception
- Exceed sensory limitations; accessibility re. low vision, low hearing, etc.
 AR for augmenting *human cognition*
- Al-supported cognition, personal Al, shared cognition, accessibility, ...



What is the most important topic/grand challenge we should tackle?

Optical architec-

ture

Mode

of

operation

Who are you?

Ozan Cakmakci, Google

Barriers to consumer adoption?

Interested in optical design of eyewear displays.

Was part of the Google Glass Optics team.

Currently running an optical design group focusing on AR optics.

Spent a summer at **Canon R & D**, with an inventor of freeform prism optics, in Tokyo, Japan.

Enjoy playing bass guitar in my free time and spend time with my daughter.



To be published by SPIE press.

ID choices

Occlusion

solu

tion

Microdisplay

Usercentered Eyewear Design

> Rx solution

What part of your work is most relevant to the Seminar?

Ozan Cakmakci





Fast electromagnetic propagation methods

0 T 0 T 1 T T

Jingyuan Cheng

Jingyuan CHENG (程敬原) <u>jingyuan@ustc.edu.cn</u> University of Science and Technology of China (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/University of Science and Te

2002 Bachelor, Applied (nuclear) Physics, China

2007 Ph.D., Physical Electronics, China

2007-2016, Ubiquitous Computing, Germany (9 years in Prof. Lukowicz's group)

Since 2016, matrix-wise smart textile and health related millistipmary hitre same lab: Textile, Electronics and Algorithms

What is the most important topic/grand challenge we should tackle?

PolyU Design



Yet-to-ready textile industry:

- New material for fibres and threads
- New textile machines
- New textile-electronics interface
- **→** Vs: large factories, low profit, very fine work division (the worst enemy for small-scale experiments)





- → Millions of channels per person
- Multi-layers (modalities) Multi-view from multiple devices
- → Vs: high wearability, low power&cost, missing algorithms

What part of your work is most relevant to the Seminar?





obiect nteraction

human

nteraction

pose

interaction

Henry Duh



André Hinkenjann

Who are you?

围 腾讯游戏学堂

* Professor for computer graphics and interactive environments @ Bonn-Rhein-Sieg University of Applied Sciences, Adjunct @ UNB

* Founding Director of the Institute of Visual Computing BRS-U

* Doing graphics (ray tracing) since end of 80s

Surfing VR waves since 1993 (Fraunhofer IAO, GMD / FhG IMK, BRS-U), HMDs, power walls, CAVEs, work benches, tiled walls,...



What part of your work is most relevant to the Seminar?

Neural scene represer ("KiloSDF" for SDFs/ge













- e in Psychology (BS), Design (MEng) and Engineering (MSIE, PhD)
 - ological University (School of Mechanical and Aerospace Eng);; sity of Singapore (Dept of Electrical and Computer Eng and Digital Media Institute); University of Tasmania (School of Come of Computing n System); La nd Math Sci)

- Sickness h and UX design AR/VR/XR and percention issues of AR/VR application in culture/art/design/education

research sustainability and responsibility

Applications driven fundamental research to address

me design (GenAl scene creation and NPC conversational design) Iling in AR/VR/XR s of performing arts ce in AR/VR/XR (eg. Airflow to enhance propriocetion)

stry Fellowships

 $\Delta \vee$

What is the most important topic/grand challenge we should tackle?

Capturing light is easy, as light can be projected on sensors.

- How do we efficiently capture other perceivable stimuli that cannot be projected? (Or can they?)
- How do we store them? .
- How to we reproduce them?

Takefumi Hiraki

Who are you?

- Senior Research Scientist @ Cluster Metaverse Lab o Cluster is the Japanese biggest VR SNS platform company
- Assistant Professor @ University of Tsukuba (cross-appointed) • PI @ Phygital Media Lab
- **Research Interest**
- - Projection mapping Visuo-Haptic augmented reality
 - High-speed projection
 - Soft robotics



📬 cluster

What part of your work is most relevant to the Seminar?

Reality-augmentation media research for environment and body augmentation



What is the most important topic/grand challenge we should tackle?

Considering devices/technologies that extend human perception in our daily life, especially for the metaverse platforms



Filling the gap of VR/AR/MR research situation between academia and industry

Yuichi Hiroi

Occlusion-capable OST-HMDs



Vision Augmentation

- Postdoc researcher @Rekimoto Lab, U-Tokyo
- Will join in Metaverse Lab, Cluster Inc. from July 2023 2022 Ph.D.Eng. from Tokyo Institute of Technology (Supervisor: Yuta Itoh)

Appearance Reproduction in AR

- Topic: AR Display, Vision Augmentation

Yuichi Hiroi

What part of your work is most relevant to the Seminar?

Enhancing individual vision by indistinguishable VR/AR



AR with **Perceptual Realism**

Vision Augmentation

Augn

nt human vision in a

Design HMDs that can display realistic images (contrast, color

Measure the eyes & generate images that each person perceives as realistic







variety of situations, as in sci-fi

What is the most important topic/grand challenge we should tackle?

Realization of vision augmentation according to individual sensitivity, beyond ophthalmological constraints

> "What we see" is different individually; how can VisAug confront the problem

Visual Snow w/ Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD)

SIGHT WITH VISUAL SNOW



Yuta Itoh



- perceive (see, touch, and feel)
- allua

Spi **.**



- What are the properties of interest to be perceived differently so need customized? What are types of information to be embedded, for what purpose?
- How can that information be designed, embedded, and measured?
- How people's different perceptions could be presented in the tool for different contexts? How fabricated physical properties and embedded information be reprogrammed real time?



- Professor at the CARE Laboratory, Nara Inst. of Sci. & Tech. (NAIST) • (please google care lab japan)
- VR / AR / Multimodal interaction, Human augmentation, ...



What is the most important topic/grand challenge we should tackle?

- How can we make smart glasses smart? •
- How can we continuously diagnose vision in a daily life? •





Initial results: Estimation of visual acuity from EOG (96% of accuracy) @ EMBC 2023

Who are you?

Name: Hideki Koike

Affiliation: Professor of Tokyo Institute of Technology

Research Topics: Vision-based HCI, Interactive Surfaces,

Human Augmentation

Hobby: Alpine Ski, Playing Electric Bass







What is the most important topic/grand challenge we should tackle?

How VR/AR provides efficient training environment for experts (not novices)? How each channel (e.g. visual, audio, haptics) or their combination could be used?

Kiyoshi Kiyokawa

What part of your work is most relevant to the Seminar?

- Display hardware (Hop)
- Perception correction (Step)
- Perception augmentation (Jump) •



Hideki Koike

What part of your work is most relevant to the Seminar?



Medical Training in VR

Ernst Kruijff

- Research Professor for Human-Computer Interaction and co-director Institute of Visual Computing, Bonn-Rhein-Sieg University of Applied Sciences
- Adjunct Professor SIAT / Simon Fraser University
- Main topic: human-factors driven design, implementation and evaluation of multisensory 3D user interfaces
- Research sind mid-nineties on 3D user interfaces (Utrecht University, Bauhaus-Uni Weimar, Fraunhofer IMK, TU Graz, Cure) - work on Augmented and Virtual



What is the most important topic/grand challenge we should tackle?

- How to create wearable wider FOV and multifocal AR displays? •
- What are the longitudinal effects of AR?
- How to adapt AR view management for dynamic (outdoor) conditions? .
- How to go beyond visual-only AR non-visual augmentations, integration of various modalities, cross-modal effects ?

Tobias Langlotz

What part of your work is most relevant to the Seminar?

What part of your work is most relevant to the Seminar?

- Basic research in perceptual and cognitive issues in AR
- Multi-camera navigation techniques for AR
- View management in narrow and wide FOV displays · Effect of FOV on search tasks
 - Multisensory techniques for (search task) guidance (audio-tactile), dynamic conditions



Kai Kunze

Who are you?

- Tobias Langlotz
- Professor and Director, HCI Lab, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand (www.hci.otago.ac.nz)
- · Likes: Photography, Turntables (that play music), Hiking, Surfing,





What is the most important topic/grand challenge we should tackle?

- Social acceptability and ethics of perceptual augmentations
- Integrating Computer Vision / Machine Learning and perceptual augmentations
- · Continuous, long-term augmentations
- · Not "the grand challenge" but some of my current challenges:
- · Hardware design of computational glasses: Not traditional AR glasses (but share similarities)
- Subtle yet effective vision augmentation / perceptual augmentations for continuous use: But how to study and measure?







ACM

who are you? assistant professor of comp. sci. at the university of chicago

research: integrate devices with body

Pedro Lopes

mobile wearable integrated



I did it!

perception work: physical skills, smell/taste, sense of agency







Päivi Majaranta, Senior Research Fellow at

- Tampere University, FinlandM.Sc. in Computer Science (1998)
- Ph.D. in Interactive Technology (2009)
- Teaching courses on human-technology interaction
- Research interests include human-technology interaction,
- gaze-based HCI, multimodal interfaces, human augmentation, animal-computer interaction

I like walking in forests, dogs, reading, playing computer games



Tampere University

What part of your work is most relevant to the Seminar?

- Gaze interaction for compensating (or augmenting) impaired abilities, including work done in www.cogain.org
- Multimodal HCI, e.g., improving gaze interaction with haptic feedback







What is the most important topic/grand challenge we should tackle?

Utilize augmented perception to

- Improve communication / interaction between people
- Enhance empathy between people or any living beings



tting basic research, ethical issues, societal research, etc. reminded in our IJHCS 2019 paper by R ren, Majaranta et al. on Human augmentation: Past, Present and Future, including call for rese





What is the most important topic/grand challenge we should tackle?

We are all different. Emotion/Perception is something personal.

And sometimes difficult to explain in words: and perhaps we are even not aware of them. How can we handle such sensitive and personal things ?

So, personalization/ unconscious-self is my interested topic

Katsutoshi Masai

What part of your work is most relevant to the Seminar?

Augmenting communication using perception of self-face



Jason Orlosky

Who am I?

2006 BS Computer Engineering @ Georgia Tech 2009 Healthcare IT for 3 Years 2011 Japanese Language @ University of Georgia 2016 PhD in computer science @ Osaka University 2021 Associate professor @ Augusta University

Perhaps the first person to play tennis the AR Rift







What part of your work is most relevant to the Seminar?

Automated Support

Changing the way we learn via XR

Confused Learner







What is the most important topic/grand challenge we should tackle?

- Change people via XR.
- Change *people* via XR.
 Use scalable XR support to *reduce cognitive disparity*.

We don't need " \$ more main," we need " To better (1)." I.e. improve how people treat each other. If we just produce more stuff, the world gets bigger but doesn't change.

- Positivity
- Mutual understanding Compassion
- Can do this via
- Intelligent tutors
- Moral guides (agents)
- -Interfaces that help people understand each other



Alexander Plopski



Who are you? 2016 - PhD @ Osaka University

2016-2019 - Assistant Professor @ NAIST 2019-2022 - PostDoc @ University of Otago March 2023 - Assistant Professor @ TU Graz

Focus on eye gaze and AR with Optical See-Through Headsets Perception of AR Content



What is the most important topic/grand challenge we should tackle?

- What use will AR have in everyday life?
 - Can it replace the smartphone?
 - How to make it cheap enough?
- Can we avoid another "glasshole"? Personalization of the experience
- How much is too much?



Enrico Rukzio: Who are you?

Professor in HCI at Ulm University (Germany)

Research on mobile & wearable interaction

+ augmented and virtual reality



Spend time with my children.





What is the most important topic/grand challenge we should tackle?





2. Potential of Auditory Augmented Reality



Apple AirPods

Pervasiveness of wearable auditory devices vs. wearable visual devices

1. Potential of revisiting Handheld Augmented Reality for Augmented Perception

What part of your work is most relevant to the Seminar?





Impact on perception

Impact on learning

Enrico Rukzio

What part of your work is most relevant to the Seminar?

Social Implications of Fragmented Augmented Reality: Imbalanced & Tampered



Visual Alterations in Interpersonal Communication (CHI 2021)



Displaying Personal Information in Augmented Reality (CHI 2022)



Maki Sugimoto: Who are you?

Photograph

CG/Web Design/Programming



What part of your work is most relevant to the Seminar?



M. Fukuoka, F. Nakamura, A. Verhulst, M. Inami, M. Kitazaki and M. Sugimoto, "Sensory Attenuation with a Virtual Robotic Arm Controlled Using Facial Movements," in IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics, 2023 (Early Access), doi 0.1109/TVCG.2023.3246092





zio at ots TOKYO







Miura, R., Kasahara, S., Kitazaki, M., Verhulst, A., Inami, M., and Sugimoto, M. (2021). MultiSoma: Distributed Embodiment with Synchronized Behavior and Perception, Augmented Humans 2021

Who are you?

Postdoc from the University of Otago, HCI Lab

2022 Ph.D. from University of Otago

Research focus on OSTHMDs and using them to assist vision.



What is the most important topic/grand challenge we should tackle?

How to determine the augmentation and degree of augmentation that is appropriate in a given situation.



Jonathan **Sutton**

What part of your work is most relevant to the Seminar?



Greg Welch



Guanghan Zhao

What part of your work is most relevant to the Seminar?





Who are you?

- Post doctor at Tokyo Institute of Technology
- Received PhD in information technology from Osaka University
- Research Interests: Computer Vision, Virtual Reality, Augmented Reality, Human-Computer Interaction and Visually Induced Motion Sickness.



What is the most important topic/grand challenge we should tackle?

Remote meeting & collaboration

Provide a good-enough experience for daily usages:

- Immersive
- Interactive
- Low-cost

Self-Introduction

Potential directions:

- Real-time 360 video streaming from portable cameras to MR HMDs.
- Remote interactions via robot arms.

Qing Zhang (張清)



ne: Qing Zhang **rent Position:** Project Researcher, Rekim

ational Back

Advisors: Kai Kunze (principle, KMD Kazunori Sugiura (KMD) Junichi Yamaoka (KMD) (External)

(External) Thad Starner (Georg Yoichi Sato (UTokyo

ute of Fine Arts munication Design, Design

Hobby: Photography, Drawing, Mountain biking, Road biking, Email: qzkiyoshi@gmial.com

Email: qzkiyoshi@gmail.com





• What is the most important topic/grand challenge we should tackle during this seminar?

The time we without the "Human Augmentation" Devices





Meeting Schedule

	MONDAY, May 29th	TUESDAY, Ma	ay 30th	WEDNESDAY, May 31st		THURSDAY, 1st June	
7:30 AM	brookfast	brookfoo	.+	brookfoot		brookfast	
8:00 AM	Diedkidst		8:00 AM	DIEGNIGSL		Dreaklast	
8:30 AM			8:30 AM				
9:00 AM	welcome	welcome Open Questions		Plenary & QA		Perception beyond AR	
9:30 AM	intro talks		9:30 AM	(Tentative)		Killer App breakouts	
10:00 AM	break	break	10:00 AM	break		checkout by 10 - break	
10:30 AM			10:30 AM	Onen guantiana		More whiteboarding?	
11:00 AM	intro talks (pecha-kucha)	Breakout Groups	(different 11:00 AM	Open questions		Fix desks	
11:30 AM				Report writing & Yosegaki		Wrapup, commitments etc.	
12:00 PM	lunch		12:00 PM	lunch		lunch	
12:30 PM	lunch	lunch at the centre	or on your 12:30 PM			iuniun	
1:00 PM	Photo shooting	beach	1:00 PM	Preparation time		Departure	
1:30 PM			1:30 PM	Excursion:			
2:00 PM	Breakout groups (potentially walk to mountain)	Extended beach	2:00 PM				
2:30 PM	wait to mountainy	Extended beach	2:30 PM				
3:00 PM	break	Come back to	OVA 3:00 PM	Visit to Hokokuji and Jomyoji temples with a Japanese			
3:30 PM	Dama Cassian	coffee bre	ak 3:30 PM	Tea ceremony experience, Hachiman-gu shrine and streets at the central			
4:00 PM	Demo Session	2x Pechakucha s	lide pres. 4:00 PM				
4:30 PM	Schedule adjustment / Q&A	Summary of break	out groups 4:30 PM	Kamakura area		For those staving in Japan	
5:00 PM	Panel Collaboration follow-ups / f		w-ups / free 5:00 PM			longer, feel free to	
5:30 PM	break	break	5:30 PM			self-organize at this point.	
6:00 PM			6:00 PM				
6:30 PM	dinner (18:15)	dinner (18:	15) 6:30 PM	Banquet			
7:00 PM			7:00 PM			-	
7:30 PM	Drink/Chaok Testing		7:30 PM	Llangeut / free time			
8:00 PM	DHIN/SHOK ISSUILY	Hangout / Ife	8:00 PM	nangout / free time			

Figure 1: Meeting Schedule

Summary of Discussions and New findings

The main causes of misperception in AR/VR were discussed, including factors such as distances, sizes, speed, and time. While it is challenging to solve these issues completely, several approaches were suggested. One approach involved a hyper-realistic rendition to force a perception shift. Another idea was to create a small set of standardized calibrations, although it was acknowledged that perception is often person-dependent and may require customized models. Considerations for additional references and psychological perspectives were also mentioned. It was noted that misperception could have serious consequences in certain fields, such as space exploration or military training. The use of eye tracking and models based on eye parameters were proposed for calibration, and the Varjo HMD system was highlighted as a potential solution for depth perception in microscopic images.

The question of over-relying on visuals in AR was raised, and the importance of incorporating associated sounds was discussed. It was suggested that sound could enhance the overall experience and provide additional cues for perception. Advances in AI-generated sound and spatial audio were mentioned. While visuals remain crucial, the combination of visual and sound elements was emphasized as essential for achieving a fully immersive and accurate experience.

Special AR "for good" applications were explored, including areas such as phobia therapy, blind assistance, education, and visualization of invisible phenomena. The potential of AR to improve various aspects of life, from healthcare to science education, was highlighted. It was acknowledged that these applications require careful design and consideration of user needs, but they hold significant potential for positive impact.

The discussion revolved around triggering the next AR hype and the factors that would contribute to widespread adoption. The need for better AR devices, killer apps, and a focus on user experience and interaction were mentioned. Examples of potential killer apps, such as face recognition or viewing convenience, were discussed. The challenge of finding the right balance between functionality and form factor was emphasized, along with the need for seamless integration with existing technologies.

The question of using the right measures to test AR environments under controlled and uncontrolled conditions was raised. The limitations of controlled studies in capturing real-world experiences were acknowledged, and the importance of conducting studies in more practical and dynamic environments was highlighted. Suggestions were made for in-the-wild experiments and the need for longer-term studies to assess the effects of HMD use. The lack of well-accepted usability studies and guidelines was also noted, calling for further research in this area.

To make people want to use AR glasses on a daily basis, several features were considered crucial. The workshop discussion focused on this question, and the detailed summary was not provided.

The question of making real AR systems common was raised. The need for advancements in technology, form factor, visualization, and interaction design was discussed. The importance of addressing user needs, improving user interfaces, and creating practical and useful applications that integrate seamlessly into daily life was emphasized.

Ensuring equality in AR adoption, particularly in terms of financial acces-

sibility, was discussed. Suggestions included cross-platform accessibility, availability of affordable technologies, and finding funding for socio-economically disadvantaged individuals. The importance of policy discussions, guidelines, and considering the needs of individuals with disabilities was highlighted.

The question of XR UI/interaction with GenAI embedded and presenting rich information in a limited display size was raised. Design patterns, interactive content generation, and automated level/difficulty generation based on user performance were discussed. The potential for AI to enhance gaming experiences and customize content based on user preferences was highlighted.

The impact of AI, specifically Chat GPT, on VR programming jobs was examined. It was recognized that AI can change programming methodologies and tasks but also noted that human involvement and expertise remain necessary.

Identified issues and future directions

In the realm of Augmented Perception and Extended Reality (AR/VR/XR), several important future directions emerge from the findings. One crucial aspect is addressing misperception in AR/VR experiences. To achieve this, personalized calibration methods and eye-based models could be explored, potentially leveraging eye tracking for closed-loop calibration. By customizing the experience based on individual perception, the accuracy of AR/VR rendering can be enhanced.

Another direction involves integrating sound and visuals to create more immersive experiences. Advances in AI-generated sound and spatial audio technologies can play a vital role in enhancing the overall perception and immersion in AR/VR environments. By incorporating sound cues and leveraging the power of AI, developers can create more realistic and engaging experiences.

Special AR applications with a focus on healthcare, education, phobia therapy, and accessibility offer great potential for positive impact. Collaborating with domain experts and designing user-centered experiences can result in meaningful applications that address specific needs. By leveraging AR to augment healthcare practices, facilitate learning, or assist individuals with disabilities, the field can make significant strides towards improving lives.

Driving widespread adoption of AR requires advancements in AR devices, the development of killer apps, and a focus on optimizing user experiences. Researchers and developers need to continue pushing the boundaries of technology, form factors, and interaction design to create AR solutions that seamlessly integrate into users' daily lives.

Evaluating AR environments also presents a challenge, as controlled studies may not fully capture real-world experiences. To overcome this limitation, researchers should explore in-the-wild experiments and establish usability guidelines. Balancing controlled studies with practical, dynamic environments will provide a more comprehensive understanding of AR usability and effectiveness.

Improving AR glasses to provide practical value and enhance user experience is another crucial direction. This involves refining form factors, visualization techniques, and interaction design to create AR glasses that users will want to incorporate into their daily routines.

The integration of AI, such as Chat GPT, holds potential for enhancing XR user interfaces and interactions. AI-driven design patterns, personalized content generation, and automated level/difficulty adjustments based on user performance could significantly enhance XR experiences and customization. It is important to recognize that despite the advancements in AI and automation, human expertise remains vital in VR programming. While AI can assist in various aspects, human involvement and expertise are still necessary to create compelling and meaningful VR experiences.

By focusing on these future directions, i.e., addressing misperception, enhancing multi-sensory experiences, developing impactful applications, driving adoption, improving evaluation methodologies, optimizing user experience, advancing AR technologies, leveraging AI in XR, and acknowledging the role of human expertise, the participants gained ideas on how to further improve the fields of Augmented Perception and Extended Reality.

Bibliography

- Ivan E. Sutherland. A Head-Mounted Three Dimensional Display. In Proceedings of the Fall Joint Computer Conference, Part I, pages 757– 764, New York, USA, December 1968.
- Kim, K., Billinghurst, M., Bruder, G., Duh, H. B. L., & Welch, G. F. (2018). Revisiting trends in augmented reality research: A review of the 2nd decade of ISMAR (2008–2017). IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics, 24(11), 2947-2962.
- 3. Schmidt, Albrecht. "Augmenting human intellect and amplifying perception and cognition." *IEEE Pervasive Computing*, 16(1), 6-10, 2017.
- Engelbart, Douglas C. "Augmenting human intellect: A conceptual framework." Menlo Park, CA (1962).
- Rekimoto, Jun, & Nagao, Katashi. "The world through the computer: Computer augmented interaction with real world environments." In *Designing Communication and Collaboration Support Systems*, 1999.
- Papagiannis, Helen. Augmented human: How technology is shaping the new reality. O'Reilly Media, Inc., 2017.
- Langlotz, T., Sutton, J., Zollmann, S., Itoh, Y., & Regenbrecht, H. (2018, April). "ChromaGlasses: Computational glasses for compensating colour blindness." In *Proceedings of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors* in Computing Systems (p. 390). ACM.