

Verifying Relational Properties of Functional Programs by First-Order Refinement

Ryosuke Sato

**Joint work with Kazuyuki Asada
and Naoki Kobayashi**

This Talk

- **Automated** verification of **relational properties** for higher-order programs

- Example:

let rec sum n =

if n = 0 then 0 else n + sum (n-1)

let rec sumacc a n =

if n = 0 then a else sumacc (n+a) (n-1)

$\vdash^?$ (sum, sumacc 0) : $\tau^2 = \tau \times \tau$

$\{(f, g): (\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int})^2 \mid \forall n. f(n) = g(n)\}$

Outline

- **Motivation and approach**
- **Overview (through examples)**
- **More details**
- **Experiments**
- **Related work**
- **Conclusion**

Relational Properties

- Relationship among multiple functions
 - e.g., function equality:
 $\{(f, g): (\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int})^2 \mid \forall n. f(n) = g(n)\}$
- Relationship among multiple invocations of a function
 - e.g., monotonicity:
 $\{f: \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int} \mid \forall n. f(n) \leq f(n + 1)\}$

Existing Automated Verifiers

- Verifiers based on **first-order refinement types** [Rondon+ '08; K+ '11; Zhu & Jagannathan '13]
 - **Refinement type**: type refined by predicates $\{x: \sigma \mid P\}$
 - **First-order**: no functions & no quantifiers in P
 - Relational properties cannot be represented as first-order refinement types
- Verifier for first-order functional programs [Suter+ '11]
 - Can deal with some relational properties
 - Cannot deal with higher-order programs

Our Approach

- Reduce **general** refinement type checking

$$\vdash^? t : \tau$$

to **first-order** refinement type checking

$$\vdash^? (t)^\# : (\tau)^\#$$

- Solve $\vdash^? (t)^\# : (\tau)^\#$ by an existing verifier (e.g. MoChi [K+ '11; S+ '13])

Outline

- Motivation and approach
- **Overview (through examples)**
- **More details**
- **Experiments**
- **Related work**
- **Conclusion**

Example 1

let rec sum n =

 if n = 0 then 0 else n + sum (n-1)

let rec sumacc a n =

 if n = 0 then a else sumacc (n+a) (n-1)

$\vdash^?$ (sum, sumacc 0):

$\{(f, g): (\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int})^2 \mid \forall n. f(n) = g(n)\}$

Remove \forall and Function Symbols

$\vdash^?$ (sum, sumacc 0):

$$\{(f, g): (\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int})^2 \mid \forall n. f(n) = g(n)\}$$



$\vdash^?$ $\lambda n.$ (sum n , sumacc 0 n):

$$(n: \text{int}) \rightarrow \{(r, s): \text{int}^2 \mid r = s\}$$

Remove \forall and Function Symbols

$\vdash^?$ (sum, sumacc 0):

$$\{(f, g): (\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int})^2 \mid \forall n. f(n) = g(n)\}$$



$\vdash^?$ $\lambda n.$ (sum n , sumacc 0 n):

$$(n: \text{int}) \rightarrow \{(r, s): \text{int}^2 \mid r = s\}$$

First-order refinement

Difficulty of verifying relational properties in first-order refinement

To verify

$$\vdash^? \lambda n. (\text{sum } n, \text{sumacc } 0 \ n) : \\ (n: \text{int}) \rightarrow \{(r, s): \text{int}^2 \mid r = s\},$$

we have to infer

$$\text{sum} : \{n: \text{int} \mid P[n]\} \rightarrow \{r: \text{int} \mid R[n, r]\}$$

$$\text{sumacc } 0 : \{n: \text{int} \mid Q[n]\} \rightarrow \{s: \text{int} \mid S[n, s]\}$$

such that $R[n, r] \wedge S[n, s] \Rightarrow r = s$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \Gamma \vdash \text{sum } n : \{r: \text{int} \mid R[n, r]\} \end{array}}{\quad} \frac{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \Gamma \vdash \text{sumacc } 0 \ n : \{s: \text{int} \mid S[n, s]\} \end{array}}{\quad}}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{sum } n, \text{sumacc } 0 \ n) : \{(r, s): \text{int}^2 \mid r = s\}}$$

Difficulty of verifying relational properties in first-order refinement

To verify

$\vdash? \lambda n. (\text{sum } n, \text{sumacc } 0 \ n)$
 $(n: \text{int}) \rightarrow \{$

$$r = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$$

$$s = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$$

we have to infer

$\text{sum} : \{n: \text{int} \mid P[n]\} \rightarrow \{r: \text{int} \mid R[n, r]\}$

$\text{sumacc } 0 : \{n: \text{int} \mid Q[n]\} \rightarrow \{s: \text{int} \mid S[n, s]\}$

such that $R[n, r] \wedge S[n, s] \Rightarrow r = s$

\vdots

\vdots

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \text{sum } n : \{r: \text{int} \mid R[n, r]\} \quad \Gamma \vdash \text{sumacc } 0 \ n : \{s: \text{int} \mid S[n, s]\}}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{sum } n, \text{sumacc } 0 \ n) : \{(r, s): \text{int}^2 \mid r = s\}}$$

$\Gamma \vdash (\text{sum } n, \text{sumacc } 0 \ n) : \{(r, s): \text{int}^2 \mid r = s\}$

Tupling sum & sumacc

$\vdash^? \lambda n. (\text{sum } n, \text{sumacc } 0 \ n):$

$$(n: \text{int}) \rightarrow \{(r, s): \text{int}^2 \mid r = s\}$$



let rec sum_sumacc a n =
 if n = 0 then (0, a) else
 let (r, s) = sum_sumacc (n+a) (n-1) in
 (n+r, s)

Calc sum & sumacc
simultaneously

$\vdash^? \text{sum_sumacc } 0 : (n: \text{int}) \rightarrow \{(r, s): \text{int}^2 \mid r = s\}$

Tupling sum & sumacc

$\vdash^? \lambda n. (\text{sum } n, \text{sumacc } 0 \ n):$

$(n: \text{int}) \rightarrow \{(r, s): \text{int}^2 \mid r = s\}$



let rec sum_sumacc a n =
 if n = 0 then (0, a) else
 let (r, s) = sum_sumacc (n+a) (n-1) in
 (n+r, s)

Calc sum & sumacc
simultaneously

$\vdash^? \text{sum_sumacc } 0 : (n: \text{int}) \rightarrow \{(r, s): \text{int}^2 \mid r = s\}$

Tupling sum & sumacc

$\vdash^? \lambda n. (\text{sum } n, \text{sumacc } 0 \ n):$

$(n: \text{int}) \rightarrow \{(r, s): \text{int}^2 \mid r = s\}$



let rec sum_sumacc a n =
 if n = 0 then (0, a) else
 let (r, s) = sum_sumacc (n+a) (n-1) in
 (n+r, s)

Calc sum & sumacc
simultaneously

$\vdash^? \text{sum_sumacc } 0 : (n: \text{int}) \rightarrow \{(r, s): \text{int}^2 \mid r = s\}$

Tupling sum & sumacc

$\vdash^? \lambda n. (\text{sum } n, \text{sumacc } 0 \ n):$

$$(n: \text{int}) \rightarrow \{(r, s): \text{int}^2 \mid r = s\}$$



let rec sum_sumacc a n =
 if n = 0 then (0, a) else
 let (r, s) = sum_sumacc (n+a) (n-1) in
 (n+r, s)

Calc sum & sumacc
simultaneously

$\vdash^? \text{sum_sumacc } 0 : (n: \text{int}) \rightarrow \{(r, s): \text{int}^2 \mid r = s\}$

Tupling sum & sumacc

$\vdash^? \lambda n. (\text{sum } n, \text{sumacc } 0 \ n):$

$$(n: \text{int}) \rightarrow \{(r, s): \text{int}^2 \mid r = s\}$$



let rec sum_sumacc a n =
 if n = 0 then (0, a) else
 let (r, s) = sum_sumacc (n+a) (n-1) in
 (n+r, s)

Calc sum & sumacc
simultaneously

$\vdash^? \text{sum_sumacc } 0 : (n: \text{int}) \rightarrow \{(r, s): \text{int}^2 \mid r = s\}$

Can be verified by an existing verifier

Example 2

let rec append x y =
 match x(0) with \perp -> y
 | Some(n) -> cons n (append (tail x) y)

$\vdash^?$ append :

$\text{int}_{\perp} = \text{int option}$

None

$(x: \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}_{\perp}) \rightarrow \{y: \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}_{\perp} \mid y(0) = \perp\}$
 $\rightarrow \{r: \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}_{\perp} \mid \forall i. r(i) = x(i)\}$

append x [] = x

Remove Dependencies between Arguments and Return Types

$\vdash^?$ append :

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathbf{x}: \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}_\perp) \rightarrow \{\mathbf{y}: \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}_\perp \mid \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{0}) = \perp\} \\ & \rightarrow \{\mathbf{r}: \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}_\perp \mid \forall i. \mathbf{r}(i) = \mathbf{x}(i)\} \end{aligned}$$



$\vdash^?$ $\lambda \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}. (\mathbf{x}, \text{append } \mathbf{x} \ \mathbf{y}) :$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathbf{x}: \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}_\perp) \rightarrow \{\mathbf{y}: \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}_\perp \mid \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{0}) = \perp\} \\ & \rightarrow \{(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{r}) : (\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}_\perp)^2 \mid \forall i. \mathbf{r}(i) = \mathbf{x}'(i)\} \end{aligned}$$

Remove Dependencies between Arguments and Return Types

$\vdash^?$ append :

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathbf{x}: \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}_\perp) \rightarrow \{\mathbf{y}: \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}_\perp \mid \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{0}) = \perp\} \\ & \rightarrow \{\mathbf{r}: \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}_\perp \mid \forall i. \mathbf{r}(i) = \mathbf{x}(i)\} \end{aligned}$$



$\vdash^?$ $\lambda \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}. (\mathbf{x}, \text{append } \mathbf{x} \ \mathbf{y}) :$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathbf{x}: \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}_\perp) \rightarrow \{\mathbf{y}: \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}_\perp \mid \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{0}) = \perp\} \\ \rightarrow & \{(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{r}) : (\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}_\perp)^2 \mid \forall i. \mathbf{r}(i) = \mathbf{x}'(i)\} \end{aligned}$$

Cf. $\vdash^?$ (sum, sumacc 0):

$$\{(f, g): (\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int})^2 \mid \forall n. f(n) = g(n)\}$$

Remove \forall and Function Symbols

$\vdash^? \lambda x, y. (x, \text{append } x \ y) :$
 $(x: \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}_\perp) \rightarrow \{y: \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}_\perp \mid y(\mathbf{0}) = \perp\}$
 $\rightarrow \{(x' \times r) : (\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}_\perp)^2 \mid \forall i. r(i) = x'(i)\}$



$\vdash^? \lambda x, y. \lambda(i, j). (x(i), (\text{append } x \ y)(j)) :$
 $(x: \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}_\perp) \rightarrow (y: (j:\text{int}) \rightarrow \{u: \text{int}_\perp \mid j = \mathbf{0} \Rightarrow u = \perp\})$
 $\rightarrow (i: \text{int}) \rightarrow \{(r, s): (\text{int}_\perp)^2 \mid s = r\}$



$\vdash^? \lambda x, y. \text{Tupling}(x, \text{append } x \ y) : (\text{the same type})$

Remove \forall and Function Symbols

$\vdash^? \lambda x, y. (x, \text{append } x \ y) :$
 $(x: \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}_\perp) \rightarrow \{y: \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}_\perp \mid y(\mathbf{0}) = \perp\}$
 $\rightarrow \{(x' \times r) : (\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}_\perp)^2 \mid \forall i. r(i) = x'(i)\}$



$\vdash^? \lambda x, y. \lambda(i, j). (x(i), (\text{append } x \ y)(j)) :$
 $(x: \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}_\perp) \rightarrow (y: (j:\text{int}) \rightarrow \{u: \text{int}_\perp \mid j = \mathbf{0} \Rightarrow u = \perp\})$
 $\rightarrow (i: \text{int}) \rightarrow \{(r, s): (\text{int}_\perp)^2 \mid s = r\}$



$\vdash^? \lambda x, y. \text{Tupling}(x, \text{append } x \ y) : (\text{the same type})$

Outline

- Motivation and approach
- Overview (through examples)
- **More details**
- **Experiments**
- **Related work**
- **Conclusion**

Two Kinds of Transformations

- Transformation for **adjusting specification**
 - Reduction from **general** to **first-order refinement**
- Auxiliary transformation to **help automated verification**
 - Tupling
 - Insertion of assume statements

Transformation for adjusting specification

- Removal of dependencies between function arguments and return types:

$$\begin{aligned} \hookrightarrow \vdash^? \lambda f. t & : (f: \tau) \rightarrow \{r: \sigma \mid P[f, r]\} \\ \hookrightarrow \vdash^? \lambda f. (f, t) & : (f: \tau) \rightarrow (f': \tau) \times \{r: \sigma \mid P[f', r]\} \end{aligned}$$

- Linearization of function symbols:

$$\begin{aligned} \hookrightarrow \vdash^? t & : \{f: \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int} \mid P[f(x), f(y)]\} \\ \hookrightarrow \vdash^? (t, t) & : \{(f, g): (\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int})^2 \mid P[f(x), g(y)]\} \end{aligned}$$

Assume
linearity

- Elimination of \forall and functions:

$$\begin{aligned} \hookrightarrow \vdash^? (\lambda x. t_1, \lambda y. t_2) & : \{(f, g): (\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int})^2 \mid \forall x, y. P[f(x), g(y)]\} \\ \hookrightarrow \vdash^? \lambda(x, y). (t_1, t_2) & : \left((x, y): \text{int}^2 \right) \rightarrow \{(r, s): \text{int}^2 \mid P[r, s]\} \end{aligned}$$

Transformation for adjusting specification

$$\vdash^? \text{sum} : \{f: \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int} \mid f(x) = x + f(x - 1)\}$$


$$\vdash^? (\text{sum}, \text{sum}) :$$

$$\{(f, g): (\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int})^2 \mid f(x) = x + g(x - 1)\}$$

- **Linearization of function symbols:**

$$\vdash^? t : \{f: \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int} \mid P[f(x), f(y)]\}$$

$$\vdash^? (t, t) : \{(f, g): (\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int})^2 \mid P[f(x), g(y)]\}$$

Assume
linearity

- **Elimination of \forall and functions:**

$$\vdash^? (\lambda x. t_1, \lambda y. t_2) : \{(f, g): (\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int})^2 \mid \forall x, y. P[f(x), g(y)]\}$$

$$\vdash^? \lambda(x, y). (t_1, t_2) : \left((x, y): \text{int}^2 \right) \rightarrow \{(r, s): \text{int}^2 \mid P[r, s]\}$$

Two Kinds of Transformations

- Transformation for adjusting specification
 - Reduction from general to first-order refinement
- **Auxiliary transformation to help automated verification**
 - Tupling
 - Insertion of assume statements

Auxiliary transformation to **help automated verification**

- Tupling of recursive functions

$$\lambda(x, y). (f\ x, g\ y) \quad \rightarrow \quad fg$$

- Insertion of assume statements
 - About the property of tupled functions
 - Deterministic
 - $fg\ (x, y) = (f\ x, g\ y)$

Example:

Insertion of assume statements

$\vdash^? h : \{(f, g): (\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int})^2 \mid \forall x, y. x \leq y \Rightarrow f(x) \leq g(y)\}$
 $\rightarrow \{d: \text{int} \mid d \geq 0\}$

let h (f,g) = ... let r = f x in ...
if x < y then let s = g y in s - r else ...



$\vdash^? h : \left((x, y): \text{int}^2 \rightarrow \{(r, s): \text{int}^2 \mid x \leq y \Rightarrow r \leq s\} \right)$
 $\rightarrow \{d: \text{int} \mid d \geq 0\}$

dummy value

let h fg = ... let (r1, s1) = fg (x, \perp) in ...
if x < y then let (r2, s2) = fg (x, y) in

assume (r1 = r2); s2 - r1 else ...

\times fg (\perp , y)

Example:

Insertion of assume statements

When checking $\vdash^? s2 - r1 : \{d: \text{int} \mid d \geq 0\}$,
a verifier knows that

- $x < y$
- $r2 \leq s2$

$\vdash^? h : \left((x, y): \text{int}^2 \rightarrow \{(r, s): \text{int}^2 \mid x \leq y \Rightarrow r \leq s\} \right)$
 $\rightarrow \{d: \text{int} \mid d \geq 0\}$

dummy value

let h fg = ... let (r1, s1) = fg (x, \perp) in ...

if $x < y$ then let (r2, s2) = fg (x, y) in

assume (r1 = r2); s2 - r1 else ...

\times fg (\perp , y)

Example:

Insertion of assume statements

When checking $\vdash^? s2 - r1 : \{d: \text{int} \mid d \geq 0\}$,
 a verifier knows that

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \bullet x < y \\ \bullet r2 \leq s2 \\ \bullet r1 = r2 \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow r1 \leq s2 \Rightarrow s2 - r1 \geq 0$$

$\vdash^? h : \left((x, y): \text{int}^2 \rightarrow \{(r, s): \text{int}^2 \mid x \leq y \Rightarrow r \leq s\} \right)$
 $\rightarrow \{d: \text{int} \mid d \geq 0\}$

dummy value

let h fg = ... let (r1, s1) = fg (x, \perp) in ...

if x < y then let (r2, s2) = fg (x, y) in

assume (r1 = r2); s2 - r1 else ...

\times fg (\perp , y)

Soundness of Verification by Transformation

Theorem:

Suppose τ is at most order-2.

Then,

$$\models (t)^\# : (\tau)^\# \Rightarrow \models t : \tau .$$

Note: Functions of arbitrary order can be used inside t

Outline

- Motivation and approach
- Overview (through examples)
- More details
- **Experiments**
- **Related work**
- **Conclusion**

Experiments

program	size (before #) [words]	size (after #) [words]	predicate	time [sec]
sum-acc	56	282	0	0.54
sum-simple	40	270	0	0.75
sum-mono	27	279	0	0.45
mult-acc	63	347	0	0.38
a-max-gen	112	476	1	0.29
append-xs-nil	72	1364	0	45.57
append-nil-xs	63	725	0	16.43
rev	128	1868	0	176.24
insert	32	6262	0	52.49
insertsort	38	7044	2	14.33

- Implemented as an extension of MoChi [K+ '11, S+ '13]
- Intel Core i7-3930 CPU, 16 GB memory

Limitations

- Due to **the underlying verifier MoChi**
 - The current implementation of MoChi cannot infer appropriate predicates for some programs (e.g., merge sort)
- Due to **our transformation**
 - $\{v: \sigma \mid \exists x. P\}$

Related Work

- (Semi-)automated refinement type checking that supports **uninterpreted functions** [Rondon+ '08; Zhu & Jagannathan '13]
 - Cannot deal with relational properties
- Verification of **first-order functional programs** [Suter+ '11]
 - Can deal with some relational properties
 - Cannot deal with higher-order programs

Conclusion

- **Automated** verification of **relational properties**
 - **General refinement types** for representing relational properties
 - **Reduction to first-order refinement type checking**
 - **Tupling** for enabling first-order refinement type checking